pt

G R. S 58
Previous references
EF 5-03-1-4
3-07 3 27
12-06 11, 14-18 (1908 2nd)
Later references

File No 359-07
Serial Nos. 1-2

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos 1-2.

Part B for March 1908

Subject

Adjustment of charges on account of municipal works executed by the Public Works Department

From the Ch Engr No 117 S 8-1-07 States that the procedure ordered to be adopted in G O No 4900-5260-70 S 27th Nov & 6th Dec 1907 is 2nd 3-07-117-06-18 opposed to the standing orders as the amounts therein referred to should be transferred to the P.W. Budget as additional grants.

To the Chief Engr and Comptroller No 85658 6-3-0 State that the orders conveyed in the said Govt. may be taken as authority for raising to the extent of the value of the works, the estimate under the Grant

GRS 11] 1907-08

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Mb.)

NOTES

File No 359-07

FILE HEADING

Adjustment of charges on account of Municipal Establishments borne by the P W Department

From

The Chief Engineer of Mysore

No 117 Dated 8/1/08 Received 10/1/08

Diary No 2775 | Enclos — Spare Copies — | Serial No 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

States that the procedure ordered to be adopted in G O 4900-1 Mb(3-07)-7 and 5268-70 Mb(17-06)-1 dated the 27th Novr & 6th Decr 1907 should be observed in the standing orders as the amounts therein to be transferred to the P W Budget as a set-

NOTES AND ORDERS

The orders referred to were based on the Financial Branch Accountant-Secty's note of the 20th November copy. The file may therefore be sent to Br A for report whether any modification of those orders is necessary

Mb 3/08
W

First sheet

GPB—30 000—20 3 06

G R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 359 of 1907

Serial No.

for Dir
Gl 5/8

necessary in view of the Chief Engineer's
proposed representation
Mudaliar 2. Cs. Please send to Sec II
13/1/08

13/1/08

Submitted.

It is not clear what the mistake
is. The wording of the rule in question
is not the same as in the rule 38
but it is in effect the same Rule 38 does
16/1/08 not seem to apply to municipal
Grants.

The Govt orders issued are
in accordance with the rule contained
in art 460 rule 1 Chs. Vol I. Para
38 of Go Ms of 1908 does not apply to
the present case as the subject is
to the municipal and not to State funds

16.1.08

II A Sec
23/1/8

Submitted (with the
Attention is respectfully
invited to G.O. 22 Yb. 1908-6

Note—Contin sheet.

(11-B—50 000—17-8-07)

G R S 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat

Mb File No 359 of 1907

Serial No

T

dated the 9th April 1904 under which Para 38 of the Govt orders of 1903 seems to apply to Death. & Municipal Funds. The file may again be sent to Para II for remarks, if any, with reference to that order as it is not clear from the note by that Branch whether the Hb. Asst Secy's attention was drawn to it

MS 24/1/08

Sent 27/1/08

Submitted

There has been some difference of opinion on this point from the very beginning (vide the pl Jun. 5-03) the P.W Examiner having contended in Jan 1904 that rule 38 of the Fin. Rules did not apply to Municipal & St Funds, the order of 9th April 1904 was passed in consultation with the Legal Remembrancer & Comptroller. of that order holds good, the rectification suggested in the letter under disposal may be effected & the G.O. printed, Perhaps

K.S. 23/1/08

A reference has been made to Govt today in the same connection & I was not aware of

No I was not aware of

Put up 7/12/22 nos 565 22/

tm sheet

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 359 of 1907

Serial No.

Copy of G.O. dated 19th April 1907 when forwarding last-note.

I have read sub 460 of the Code is not applicable to cases of the kind in question the orders issued may be modified accordingly.

H.
24.1.08

Fl misc 565

Note - Laid before Board by Secy Mad 27/1 (Misc Municipalities).

The Board do not require any modification and they are altogether. Under the procedure that now obtains [illegible], the CE regards himself as act of municipal then funds will on an addition to the budget more perhaps to the Collective Division of his budget than in the Director this doing so as long as the works are provided for in the Collective Fund Budgets. The works now in question have been so provided and then Charges will be borne by the Municipality and the CE may regard the powers already issued as authority for raising the Charges under the

Note—Contn. sheet

G R S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

4775

File No 359 of 1907

Serial No	

Municipal Funds part of the P.W. Budget.

The expenditure is shown under the head "Municipal Funds" in the P.W. Accounts the final charge to the Municipalities concerned resting on the Comptroller's books. The reply to the C.E. may be as follows:- Adverting to your letter No — of — regarding the procedure for the adjustment of certain Municipal charges sanctioned in G.O. No — Dated —

I am directed to state that the Orders conveyed in the said G.O. may be taken as authority for charging to the Estimate of the value of the works, the Estimate under the Municipal Funds part of the P.W. Budget."

14.2.08

Draft submitted to the Hon'ble Commissioner.

Mahanadi 18/2

23 20/2/08

5835 21-2-08

Draft approved. The mistake should not have occurred - The Mahanadi

Note—Cutting

G R S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat

Jul File No 359 of 1907

Serial No	
2	In the chief Engrs No 8865 of 6 3 08 states that the orders conveyed in the Govt Proc referd to may be taken as authority for drawing to the extent of the value of the works, the estimate under the municipal funds part of the P W Budget

Harris 565

ine Notes

, etc., not intended for permanent records.]

Mr. Pr. IV

Re-submitted

The drainage leaders & the Acetylene Sign in this case clashes with that given by the Sign Section (Flag 8). It is clearly understood that there shall be an actual cash payment by the municipality & no transfer of grants

K.S. 30/1/08

Please see note below

Mr

12.2.08



to enable us to file the file may be sent to Mr. IV as requested

Sent on 31/1/08

Mr. IV

N B.—Should routine notes be written on small Slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

MGCPB—50,000—23-6-05

Mysore Public Works Department,

Central Office,

No. 117 Dated Bangalore 8th January 1908.

From,

W. Mc Hutchin Esquire, M.I.C.E.,

Chief Engineer of Mysore,

BANGALORE.

To,

C.S.Balasundaram Iyer Esquire, B.A.,

Offg: Secretary to the Government

of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

BANGALORE.

Sir,

I have the honor to invite attention to the marginally noted Government Proceedings, and to inform you that the procedure ordered to be adopted in these instances is opposed to the standing orders on the subject, under which the amounts in question should be transferred to the P. W. Budget as additional grants and the charges treated as final charges of the P.W.Department-vide para 38 of Government Proceedings No. Fl. 1150-1152/18-1901-02 dated 7th February 1903. This is brought to notice that the orders referred to may now be rectified.

(1) Government Proceedings No. 4900-1/Fl (3-07)-7 dated 27-11-1907 sanctioning a bill for Rs. 206-5-1 on account of the cost of putting up additional water supply fountains to the Chatnahalli Health Camp in the Mysore City and directing the adjustment of the amount by debit to the Municipality and credit to the P.W.D.
(2) Government proceedings No. 5268-70/Fl 17-06-18 directing that the charge of Rs 1,194 incurred on constructing flushing tanks along the Avenue road in the Bangalore City, be adjusted by debit to the Municipality and credit to the P. W. D.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Captain R.F.,
Deputy Chief Engineer of Mysore

G.R.S. 26]

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to 4115

8805

No. ml 357-07-2,

96.3.08.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 190

To M. M. Venkatarama Ayyar, M.A., B.L.,
Chief Engr. of Mysore
Bangalore.

Date of issue 6.3.08

Signed by [illegible]

Sir,

According to your letter No. 117 dated the 8th Jan. 1908 regarding the procedure for the adjustment of certain Municipal Charges varied in G.O. No. 2004, dated 5th Nov. 1907 and the 6th December 1907 respectively, I am directed to state that the orders conveyed in the said Proceedings may be taken as authority for passing to the extent of the value

over

SUBJECT